

MAR 25 2008

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

MOLLY DWYER, ACTING CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

ERICK LUDWING GALVEZ-PALMA;
ISABEL CHRISTINA GALVEZ,

Petitioners,

v.

MICHAEL B. MUKASEY, Attorney
General,

Respondent.

No. 06-73651

Agency Nos. A71-586-251
A71-586-253

MEMORANDUM^{*}

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted March 18, 2008^{**}

Before: CANBY, T.G. NELSON and BEA, Circuit Judges.

Erick Ludwing Galvez-Palma and his wife are natives and citizens of
Guatemala. Petitioners seek review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' ("BIA")

^{*} This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent
except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

^{**} The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without
oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

06-73651

order dismissing their appeal from an immigration judge's decision denying their claim for asylum and withholding of removal. We have jurisdiction pursuant to 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review for substantial evidence, *Lata v. INS*, 204 F.3d 1241, 1244 (9th Cir. 2000), and we deny the petition for review.

Substantial evidence supports the BIA's determination that the two death threats Galvez-Palma endured did not amount to past persecution. *See Lim v. INS*, 224 F.3d 929, 936-37 (9th Cir. 2000). Substantial evidence also supports the BIA's finding that Galvez-Palma did not have a well-founded fear, because his fear is too speculative. *See Mendez-Gutierrez v. Gonzales*, 444 F.3d 1168, 1172 (9th Cir. 2006).

Because Petitioners failed to establish eligibility for asylum, they necessarily failed to meet the more stringent standard for withholding of removal. *See Malhi v. INS*, 336 F.3d 989, 993 (9th Cir. 2003).

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.